

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/036,856	WAGLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ardin Marschel	1614	

All Participants:

(1) Ardin Marschel.

(2) Lillian Horwitz(in office of Ivor Ilrifi).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms Horwitz verified that no response has been submitted after the Notice of Appeal, filed 12/6/05, and only a time ext., filed 7/6/06.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)